

Gaviota Coast Plan Workshop
March 20, 2010
Plan Boundary Table Comments

1. The GavPAC is still in the early stages of discussion and landowners need more information on proposed changes to evaluate if there is a benefit to have their land within the plan area. Let landowners know that just because their land is in the plan area doesn't mean they will be subject to additional layers of regulation
2. Work with property owners split by the boundary to get their input and keep them involved throughout the process
3. The Plan should develop "sub-areas" with applicable policies, tools, standards specific to the issues for that area...which may not be relevant or necessary for all areas of the plan
4. Ask owners what changes or incentives will be advantageous within the Plan area
5. Focus on incentives, nobody wants unnecessary regulations
6. Important to consider resources outside of boundary to better understand connectivity and relationships between issue areas
7. Watershed basis for Plan boundary may be viewed as controversial, similar to National Seashore Feasibility Study approach
8. Conduct mapping exercise (GIS) with different resource overlays in relationship to the boundary line. Research "KRIS" software: Good software for interactive decision-making.
9. Larger planning area is a greater cost to the County
10. Why include upper watershed of Gaviota Creek north of the tunnel if riparian areas and steelhead already protected
11. Average citizen believes Gaviota Coast is limited to the coastline from the tunnel to Goleta
12. North of the tunnel the climate and agriculture is completely different than the coast