



**Planning and Development Department**  
**Long Range Planning Division**  
**Transmittal Memorandum**

---

**DATE:** March 3, 2011

---

**TO:** GavPAC Members

---

**FROM:** David Lackie, Supervising Planner  
Brian Tetley, Planner

---

**CC:** Supervisor Doreen Farr, 3<sup>rd</sup> Supervisorial District

---

**SUBJECT:** GavPAC Meeting No. 29

---

The items listed below have been included as part of the meeting materials for GavPAC Meeting No. 29, to be held Wednesday March 9, 2011, 6:30 p.m. in the Vista de Las Cruces School auditorium:

1. **Meeting Agenda:** Meeting No. 29 Agenda for transportation, energy, and infrastructure deliberations and visual resources subcommittee summary report (Attachment 1).
2. **Draft Meeting Minutes:** Staff has provided draft meeting minutes from the February 23, 2011 GavPAC meeting for review and approval (Attachment 2).
3. **Updated Transportation, Energy, and Infrastructure Discussion Outline:** Chair Kimbell has prepared an outline to guide Committee deliberations on transportation, energy, and infrastructure. Staff has attached a revised outline in this packet with direction to staff noted on the areas previously resolved.
4. **Updated To-Do List** (Attachment 4).
5. **Long-Term Schedule** (Attachment 5).

In addition to this packet, please bring the GavPAC documents binder with you to the meeting.

All GavPAC materials are also available for download from the following webpage:  
<http://longrange.sbcountyplanning.org/planareas/gaviota/gaviota.php>

## **Planning Issues Deliberations (Agenda Item Nos. 5-7)**

This meeting continues the deliberative phase of the land use planning process for the Gaviota Coast. The deliberative phase builds upon previous GavPAC work to identify core planning issues, and will provide an opportunity to discuss these issues in greater detail, and explore different planning options and approaches. Keep in mind that the goal in the deliberative stage is not to fully write or vet policy or ordinance language, but to develop consensus or at least refinement of GavPAC policy preferences which can be used by staff to craft draft policy statements and implementing tools. The review and revision to draft policy or ordinance language will occur later in the process after all of the deliberative meetings and a period of time for staff to develop the language.

During this meeting, the GavPAC will consider the planning issues associated with Transportation, Energy, & Infrastructure, with an emphasis on the County's existing policy framework and the unique characteristics of the Gaviota Coast Plan Area. This discussion will hopefully result in a more refined list of planning issue priorities that are important to the committee and are within the reach of local land use policy.

Once priorities have been expressed, staff can help initiate the discussion on appropriate planning tools for consideration by the committee. These planning tools can run the gamut from ordinances, policy language refinements or clarifications, the application of planning overlays, new or existing, etc. In addition, staff's research into the approaches taken by other jurisdictions in addressing similar planning issues may be helpful. Once the GavPAC has formulated goal statements, Staff can utilize these to help develop the Plan.

### **Attachments:**

1. Meeting 29 Agenda
2. Draft Meeting Minutes
3. Transportation, Energy, and Infrastructure Discussion Outline
4. To-Do List
5. Long-Term Schedule



# Notice of Public Meeting

## Gaviota Coast Planning Advisory Committee (GavPAC) Meeting No. 29

**Note: This agenda lists discussion topics for the GavPAC meetings on:**

**Wednesday, March 9, 2011 – 6:30 p.m.**

**Attendees:** GavPAC Members, County Staff, and Public Participants  
**Please review:** Meeting Materials Packet for Meeting on 03/09/11  
**Please bring:** Meeting Materials Packet for Meeting on 03/09/11  
**Location:** Vista de Las Cruces School - Auditorium, 9467 San Julian Rd, Gaviota, CA 93117

Agenda Item	Discussion Topics
<b>CALL TO ORDER</b>	
1	<b>Pledge of Allegiance &amp; Roll Call</b>
2	<b>Public Comment</b> <i>The Public Comment period is set aside to allow public testimony on items not on today's agenda. The time allocated to each speaker will be set at the discretion of the Chair.</i>
3	<b>Administrative Briefings</b> <i>Staff updates regarding local or other legislative activities, local planning decisions, or other updates of interest to the Committee.</i>
4	<b>Meeting Minutes Review and Approval</b>
<b>TRANSPORTATION, ENERGY, &amp; INFRASTRUCTURE PLANNING DELIBERATIONS</b>	
5	<b>Discussion of Existing Transportation, Energy, &amp; Infrastructure Policy</b>
6	<b>Consideration of Transportation, Energy, &amp; Infrastructure Preferences for the Gaviota Coast</b>
7	<b>Consideration of Transportation, Energy, &amp; Infrastructure Planning Tools</b>
8	<b>Guest Speaker, Mr. Leonadi Ward, Visual Resources</b>
9	<b>Visual Resources Subcommittee Summary Report</b>

Questions or comments about the Gaviota Coast Plan may be directed to Brian A. Tetley at 805-884-6848 or [btetley@co.santa-barbara.ca.us](mailto:btetley@co.santa-barbara.ca.us) and further information may be obtained on the following web site: <http://longrange.sbcountyplanning.org/planareas/gaviota/gaviota.php>

*Writings that are a public record under Government Code § 54957.5(a) and that relate to an agenda item of a regular meeting of the Planning Advisory Committee that are distributed to a majority of all of the members of the Planning Advisory Committee less than 72 hours prior to that meeting shall be available for public inspection at Santa Barbara County Office of Long Range Planning 30 E. Figueroa Street, Santa Barbara, CA, and also on the County's website at <http://longrange.sbcountyplanning.org/>*

*Attendance and participation by the public is invited and encouraged. In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, if you need special assistance to participate in this meeting, please contact the Hearing Support Staff (805) 568-2000. Notification at least 48 hours prior to the meeting will enable the Hearing Support Staff to make reasonable accommodations.*

Adjourn

---

---

---

# Gaviota Coast Planning Advisory Committee

---

## ***DRAFT MEETING MINUTES MEETING No. 28***

***Wednesday February 23, 2011  
Vista de Las Cruces School Auditorium***

**Meeting No. 28 Called to Order:** By Chair Kimbell at 6:31 p.m.

### **1. Roll Call**

**GavPAC Members Present:** Baer, Boise-Cossart, Bowman, Feeney, Kimbell, McGinnis McKenna, Tautrim, Van Leer

**GavPAC Members Absent:** Lloyd, McNabb

#### **County Staff Present:**

David Lackie, Long Range Planning Division Supervising Planner

Kevin Drude, Energy Division Energy Specialist

Brian A. Tetley, Long Range Planning Division Senior Planner

Katie Haldeman, Long Range Planning Division Associate Planner

### **2. Public Comment for Items not on the Agenda:**

**Mike Lunsford:** Is concerned about the lack of a coordinated planning effort, between discrete projects on the Gaviota Coast, for the California Coastal Trail. Suggested a joint committee be formed with the County Riding and Hiking Trails Advisory (CRAHTAC). Requested a County Council opinion in regards to Government Code Section 1125 et. seq. regarding conflict of interest disclosures and when Committee members should recuse themselves from a vote.

**Deliberations:** Feeney responded to Lunsford's comment by suggesting that the term "consultant" can have a broad definition. Staff informed Lunsford and the Committee that CRAHTAC will be attending a GavPAC meeting to discuss trails issues when the GavPAC deliberates on the Recreation issue. Staff also reminded the Committee that they have the ability to formally comment on current projects within the planning area, if they would like, and referred them to the County's website for a cumulative list of projects on the Gaviota Coast. Chair Kimbell does not want to be diverted from the task of doing long term planning for the Gaviota Coast.

### **3. Administrative Briefings**

**Deliberations:** None.

### **4. Meeting Minutes from February 9, 2011**

**Deliberations:** McKenna requested the minutes be amended to reflect a more accurate describe of the Committee's direction to Staff on the Tajiguas Landfill. Lunsford's public comment was amended to state that the new Santa Maria landfill will be able to except other waste, rather than specifically stating that it is planning to take waste from Tajiguas in 2022.

**Public Comment:** None



**ACTION:** Baer moved, seconded by Boise-Cossart and carried by a vote of 9-0, to approve the minutes with modifications.

#### 4. Guest Speaker, Mr. Kevin Drude, County Energy Facilities

Mr. Drude explained the current status of oil and gas development and cleanup activities in the Gaviota Coast area, including the ongoing operations at the Plains Exploration & Production Company (PXP) heating & pumping facility, north of the Highway at Mariposa Reina. Drude also discussed the status of alternative energy projects in the County.

Las Flores Canyon is the sole remaining oil and gas processing facility on the South Coast, and because it is the only designated "consolidated facility", any future production would have to be processed at that location.

Oil and gas operations at Ellwood have proposed tying into the All-American Pipeline at Las Flores Canyon (Line 96 project). The Draft Environmental Impact Report (EIR) with a 45-Day public review period is scheduled to be released next week. The State Lands Commission has renewed the lease at Ellwood until 2013.

Prohibitions in State law generally prohibit new off-shore oil and gas exploration, however the previous proposed Tranquillon Ridge exploration project may be reconsidered to help address the State's tax revenue needs according to a recent California Legislative Analyst's Office report.

The county does not have an enabling ordinance in the Coastal Zone for commercial scale wind or solar projects, and it does not have an enabling ordinance for solar projects in the inland area. A draft enabling ordinance for inland commercial scale solar energy facilities is currently being developed in conjunction with the proposed 320 acre, 40mW Cuyama Photovoltaic (PV) project. The Cuyama project EIR has helped inform the draft ordinance language for commercial scale PV facilities in inland areas. This project would require a partial Williamson Act contract cancellation.

The EIR for the Miguelito Canyon wind energy project is currently under litigation. The CEQA process provides comprehensive environmental review and goes a long way towards addressing environmental concerns of a project. However, commercial scale alternative energy projects invariably have Class I impacts, which can be adopted with a statement of overriding considerations by the decision making body, as reducing greenhouse gas emissions is a State mandate.

Drude explained that the Energy Division monitors legislative activities at the state and federal level, and that there are no emerging energy-related regulations that would affect the Gaviota Coast.

**Deliberation:** Tautrim inquired about the status of the Gaviota Oil Terminal (GTC) property south of the Highway at Mariposa Reina. Drude responded that tank farms have been removed from that site and the soil has undergone testing, the results of which have yet to be released, but will help inform the decision on future use of this site. The Board of Supervisors recommended the GTC site be re-zoned from Coastal Dependent Industry to Recreation, however that recommendation is not binding and has not been formally initiated.

A co-generation facility has never been proposed at the PXP facility. The County does not have an enabling ordinance for commercial scale wind projects in the Coastal Zone, or commercial scale solar projects in the Coastal and Inland areas. A draft enabling ordinance is being coupled with the proposed 40mW Cuyama solar energy project, however if that project does not proceed, the ordinance be enacted on its own. Some of the ordinance language is based on the impacts identified in the project EIR.

#### 6. Guest Speaker, Mr. Tam Hunt, Renewable Energy:



Mr. Hunt described Santa Barbara County's potential for harnessing wind energy. A joint study by the California Energy Commission (CEC) and Public Utilities Commission (PUC) showed that the county has approximately 400mW of potential capacity. The combination of relatively easy transmission line access and decent wind makes the County a desirable location for wind energy.

**Deliberation:** The Committee inquired about future wave energy, the feasibility of requiring the burying of new transmission lines, and transmission line location in relation to the Cojo/Jalama Ranches. Hunt responded that only 1 or 2 wave energy projects exist around the world, and that the implementation of a wave energy project offshore of the County would be in the distant future. Undergrounding transmission lines can cost 10 times more than aboveground installation. Significant wind energy potential exists on the Cojo/Jalama Ranches, however additional transmission lines would need to service any potential new wind farm in that area. Hollister Ranch CCNR's currently do not allow for wind energy projects. McGinnis inquired about potential growth inducing impacts from commercial scale energy projects. Hunt responded that growth inducement would not occur, except in relation to jobs associated with the energy project construction and operations.

McKenna reminded the GavPAC that permitting of commercial scale alternative energy projects could move away from protecting rural character. He also requested Staff inquire with County Council as to the legality of prohibiting oil and gas development on the Gaviota Coast. Bowman believes the potential for exploitation of mineral and other resources is great, and that the GavPAC should determine what their purview is in regards to this matter. McGinnis mentioned that wind turbines can impact birds in the Pacific Flyway. Drude responded that the County coordinated with U.S. Fish & Wildlife and the Department of Fish & Game to site turbines out of the flyway and minimize bird collisions.

**Public Comment:**

**Carl Steinberg:** Supports the development of an enabling ordinance and legislation that would promote wind energy in the Coastal Zone and on Gaviota Coast.

**Chris Shaeffer representing Caltrans:** In the last 1.5 years Caltrans has seen 3 proposals across San Luis Obispo and Santa Barbara County for oil and gas activities. The production component of oil and gas development generates additional truck traffic, which can occur at unimproved locations with at-grade crossings.

**ACTION:** Baer moved, seconded by Van Leer and carried by a vote of 8-0, with Boise-Cossart abstaining, to give the following policy direction to Staff:

1. Extend the enabling ordinance for commercial scale wind energy into the Coastal Zone, west of the Gaviota Pass viewshed.

**7-9. Consideration of Transportation, Energy, and Infrastructure Preferences for the Gaviota Coast**

See Item # 6.

**10. Long-Term Meeting Schedule**

The next GavPAC meeting will include a report back from the Visual Resources Subcommittee and finishing up Transportation, Energy, and Infrastructure discussions.

**Meeting Adjournment** – 9:36 p.m.



GAVIOTA PLANNING ADVISORY COMMITTEE  
TRANSPORTATION, ENERGY AND INFRASTRUCTURE  
OUTLINE OF ISSUES  
(updated 03/02/11)

The following major subject areas are offered to structure and focus the discussion on this topic.

1. Union Pacific Railroad. While the County does not have much direct control over the railroad's use of its property, it is an important and ever present facility along the coast. Given the railroad pending upgrade of its roadbed and coastal facilities, we may want to consider a letter to the Board with our thoughts on issues which the County may want to explore with the railroad. Here are some preliminary thoughts on this matter;

- We should express our appreciation for the railroad's removal of the telegraph poles and lines. The removal of this impediment to ocean views from the highway corridor is a tremendous enhancement of the coastal vistas.
- Access across the tracks: This remains an ever present problem for public access to the beach. We should ask for more underpasses and better crossings.
- Barriers to fish passage: We should see the railroad's cooperation in projects to improve fish passage up the creeks in Gaviota.
- Invasive species: The railroad can introduce and support invasive species. So we want to seek the cooperation of the railroad in controlling invasive species?
- Beach revetment: Much of the railroad line is very close to the beach and protected by seawalls and other revetments. We should discuss continued protection of the existing road bed vs. realignment inland to avoid beach revetment.

2. Transportation corridor. The US 101 and UPRR corridor passes through Gaviota. Issues with this are:

- Scenic Highway. Do we want the highway corridor designated a Scenic Highway?
- Coastal lagoons and fish passage: The highway has obliterated many coastal lagoons and created impediments to fish passage thus affecting the health of the coastal watersheds. Can we seek Cal Trans's assistance in correcting these mistakes or mitigating their effects?
- Coastal Access: The highway provides the means for much access to the beaches. Should those access points be better focused so that random exit and entry onto the highway is minimized?
- Invasive species: The highway can be the source of invasive species which spread to adjacent lands. Should County policy seek cooperation with CalTrans to minimize this threat?

3. Other public roads: Other than Highway 101 the only public roads in Gaviota are Ferren Road and Refugio Road. Should County policy encourage the gradual

transformation of those roads to meet current fire department standards and to remove barriers to fish passage?

4. Renewable energy facilities: Some issues with these facilities include:

- Distributed energy facilities: Policies should encourage small scale wind and solar facilities to render properties self sufficient in energy generation. However, such facilities should be sited to be as unobtrusive as possible.
- Major energy facilities: Gaviota can be the site for major wind farms and solar energy facilities due to its geographical setting and having a grid line passing through it. What do we want to say about the appropriateness of such facilities?

**GavPAC recommendation to Staff made during Meeting No. 28:**

a) Extend the County's inland area enabling ordinance for commercial scale wind energy to the Coastal Zone west of the Gaviota Pass watershed.

5. Fire Department issues: The only fire station in Gaviota is near Gaviota Pass. It is financially supported by the Pt. Arguello Partners as a condition of its permit for the oil and gas processing facility at Gaviota. This and other issues with respect to fire protections present the following matters for consideration:

- What fire protection will Gaviota have once Station 18 is not longer funded by Pt. Arguello Partners? Is this a planning issue?
- How does one reconcile the need for good road access with environmental issues raised in road grading and construction?
- How do we reconcile the requirements of the fire department and insurance companies for ground clearing around residences with environmental protection?

6. Tajiguas Landfill: The Department of Public Works, Resource Recovery & Waste Management is in the conceptual stages of a Conversion Technology (CT) project to convert waste that would otherwise go to Tajiguas Landfill into energy. A CT facility would likely extend the life of Tajiguas Landfill as the landfill would take longer to reach its capacity. A Notice of Preparation (NOP) to initiate California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) review of the CT project is scheduled to commence in June 2011.

**GavPAC recommendation to Staff made during Meeting No. 27:**

- a) Make CT and waste disposal at Tajiguas done in such a way so as to reduce impacts to the community as a whole (e.g., de-packing/consolidating loads into larger trucks so as to reduce truck trips to Tajiguas).
- b) Have Tajiguas only accept waster materials from within the County.
- c) Make any change to operations at Tajiguas done only with a complementary reduction in impacts to the Gaviota Region.

## To Do List

## GavPAC

Items for Staff to Provide the GavPAC:		Completed	Notes
<b>Meeting 2</b>			
1	Comprehensive Plan excerpts pertaining to Gaviota	07/21/10	Presented by issue area
2	Any available updated habitat mapping	01/06/10	Latest publicly-available biological mapping presented at GavPAC Mtg.
3	Breakdown of acreage, and maps where applicable, for the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Ownership (i.e., federal, state, local or private, for purposes of understanding GavPAC purview)</li> <li>• Areas within and outside of the coastal zone (including breakout of acreage in productive agriculture)</li> </ul>	12/02/09	Presented at GavPAC meeting
4	Map of existing uses vs. land use or zoning designations		Pending
5	Depict Agricultural Preserve lands	01/20/10	Map presented at GavPAC meeting
6	Verification of acreage in Recreation category; numbers look too small	12/02/09	Presented at GavPAC meeting
7	Re-format of website so that PAC information and materials are easier to find	12/03/09	Website revised
<b>Meetings 3&amp;4</b>			
8	Describe the Ordinance 661 consistency rezone process	01/06/10	Presented at GavPAC meeting
9	Provide status update on NRCS permit coordination/ag. permit streamlining project	01/20/10	Status update available at GavPAC meeting
10	Provide data/maps depicting lands actually farmed or grazed in comparison to ag. designated land		Pending
11	Determine if the County owns beachfront on Tajiguas or Baron Ranch	01/20/10	The County does not own beach front in these areas
<b>Meeting 5</b>			
12	Provide a list of policy challenges in processing DevRev projects on the Gaviota coast	Ongoing	Ongoing in Visual Resources Subcommittee
<b>Meeting 8</b>			
13	Request Counsel Opinion on Trails Liability & Indemnity Issues	05/19/10	Counsel opinion received by staff. Issues to be discussed during GavPAC Mtg. 12
14	Draft "White Paper" on Trails & Indemnity Issues	11/09/10	These issues to be addressed in the transmittal memo. and attachments currently planned for Mtg. 24

## To Do List

GavPAC

<b>Meeting 10</b>			
15	Prepare a reasonable estimate of existing house sizes on the Gaviota Coast	11/10/10	Requested by McKenna. Attached to Visual Resources Subcommittee packet for Mtg. 1
<b>Meeting 11</b>			
16	Include existing policy and ordinance references with issue area worksheets	05/26/10	Requested by Feeney Incorporated into issue area worksheets
17	Include specific policy and ordinance citations with issue area worksheets	05/26/10	Requested by Tautrim Incorporated into issue area worksheets
18	Define community-scale energy and distinguish from industrial scale	Ongoing	Requested by Tautrim. Addressing scalability in agriculture subcommittee.
19	Provide summary of existing energy facility abandonment policies	Pending	Requested by Tautrim Will be provided prior to deliberative meeting on energy issues
20	Check into any existing Fire Dept. standards for agricultural lands	05/26/10	Requested by McNabb No such standards found
<b>Meetings 14 &amp; 15</b>			
21	Provide a list of the NRCS permit coordination program 18 practices	8/2/10	Requested by Van Leer Provided in Mtg. 16 packet
<b>Meeting 16</b>			
22	Provide the original boundary principles accompanied by a detailed aerial map for 'split' parcels	9/23/10	Requested by McGinnis Maps provided in Mtg. 19 packet
<b>Meetings 17 &amp; 18</b>			
23	Provide a summary of the County's rural area policy approach and the CBAR's methodology for project review	9/01/10	Requested by Kimbell. Provided by Staff and CBAR Chair Bethany Clough during mtg
<b>Meeting 22</b>			
24	Investigate what Ventura County is doing regarding the wildlife corridor issue	11/24/10	Requested by Boise-Cossart Excerpt of Ventura County Document provided as part of Mtg. 23 packet
25	Coordinate with County Counsel when updating the LCP to ensure consistency with the Bolsa Chica Decision. Determine whether or not that Case results in a landowner taking. The Case reaffirms that you cannot intrude on ESHA	Pending	Requested by Kimbell/Lloyd

## To Do List

GavPAC

	buffers in the coastal zone, even if offsetting impacts with mitigation. Local policy allows for intrusion and mitigation in inland areas.		
26	Include the "To Do List", as it relates to Resource Stewardship and Open Space (RSOS), in the packet for Meeting 23 and on an ongoing basis	11/24/10	Requested by Baer Provided as part of the Mtg. 23 packet
<b>Meeting 23</b>			
27	Research ordinances, and document Monterey inter-agency advisory committee outcomes in support of a potential similar process for Gaviota	11/15/10	Requested by Kimbell.
28	Research Elkhorn Slough watershed mitigation and restoration process	11/15/10	MOU provided for Mtg. 24 packet
<b>Meeting 24</b>			
29	Present GavPAC with County's Draft Cultural Resources Guidelines when the draft becomes available (Spring '11)	Pending Spring '11	Requested by Lloyd
<b>Meeting 25</b>			
30	Staff identify any inadequacies in current water quality regulations, as the GavPAC believes there are already considerable regulations to water quality	Pending	Requested by Kimbell
<b>Meeting 27</b>			
31	Provide the GavPAC with the Notice of Preparation (NOP) for the Tajiguas Conversion Technology (CT) project for gather scoping comments. NOP scheduled to be released in June 2011.	Pending	Requested by the GavPAC.
<b>Meeting 28</b>			
32	Consult County Council (Energy Division) regarding legality of prohibiting on-shore oil and gas development in the plan area.	Pending	
<b>Ideas/Issues/Concepts to Discuss:</b>		<b>Completed</b>	<b>Notes</b>
<b>Meeting 2</b>			
1	Possibility of re-designating National Forest to something other than Agriculture (perhaps Recreation or Mountainous Area)	Address in Land Use discussion	
<b>Meetings 3&amp;4</b>			
2	Reduction of permit processing barriers to restoration projects	Discussed 11/10/10 through 1/12/11	See RSOS-5 <i>Support Landowner Stewardship of Natural Resources</i> ; RSOS-7 <i>Encourage Habitat Restoration Projects</i>
3	Camino Cielo fire safety and trespassing issues	Ongoing	See TEI-11 <i>Consider Additional Fire Protection Services West of Winchester Canyon</i> ; REC-2a <i>Assess Need and Feasibility of Trails on Public &amp; Private Land</i>

## To Do List

## GavPAC

4	Possibility of reinstatement of USFS fire station at the top of Refugio Road		See TEI-11 <i>Consider Additional Fire Protection Services West of Winchester Canyon</i>
5	Baron Ranch and trail opportunities	Address during REC deliberation	See REC-2a <i>Assess Need and Feasibility of Trails on Public &amp; Private Land</i>
6	Methods to mitigate off-trail trespass: Poison Oak/Elderberry buffers, chain link fencing no good	Address during REC deliberation	See REC-1b <i>Safe access for various informal coastal uses</i> ; REC-2a <i>Assess Need and Feasibility of Trails on Public &amp; Private Land</i>
7	Methods to orchestrate trail exactions vs. piecemeal assemblage	Address during REC deliberation	REC-2a <i>Assess Need and Feasibility of Trails on Public &amp; Private Land</i>
8	Potential for redesignation of El Cap to State Park rather than State Beach	Address during REC deliberation	See REC-5 <i>Better coordination of recreational access among agencies</i>
9	Scenic designation for U.S. 101	3/03/10	See Packet from GavPAC Meeting No. 8
10	Potential climate change issues and adaptation measures for U.S. 101	Ongoing	See TEI-1 <i>Recommend a Set of Safety Improvements to Transportation Corridor Operations</i>
11	Surface water volume and quality impacts from U.S. 101		
12	U.S. 101 and UP trespass and parking safety concerns	Address during REC deliberation	See REC-1b <i>Safe access for various informal coastal uses</i>
13	Methods to reduce barriers to individual renewable energy projects on ag. land (solar, wind)		See TEI-4 <i>Consider Community &amp; Large Scaled Renewable Energy Production</i>
14	Ways to provide trail connectivity as part of the CalTrans Arroyo Quemada bridge replacement project	Address during REC deliberation	See REC-2b <i>Development of a California Coastal Trail (CCT)</i>
15	Repairs to El Cap-Refugio Bike Trail?	Address during REC deliberation	See REC-2d <i>Maintain Multi-Use Trail Between El Capitan and Refugio State Parks</i>
<b>Meeting 5</b>			
16	Investigate ways to incentivize ecologically beneficial land management practices, including 'safe harbor' agreements	Discussed 11/10/10 through 1/12/11	See RSOS-7 <i>Consider Habitat Restoration Projects</i>
<b>Meeting 11</b>			
17	Look at possibility of partial abandonment of an energy facility and conversion to renewable energy generation		



# Gaviota Coast Plan GavPAC Meeting Schedule

Meeting Dates and Discussion Topics

[Meeting dates, times, and locations are subject to change]

March 2, 2011

Meeting/ Workshop Type	Date and Time	Location	Discussion Topics
GavPAC Meeting #34	Wednesday, May 25, 2011 6:30 p.m.	Vista de Las Cruces School Auditorium	<b>Planning Goals Development: Final Topics/ Agriculture Subcommittee Report</b>
GavPAC Meeting #33	Wednesday, May 11, 2011 6:30 p.m.	Vista de Las Cruces School Auditorium	<b>Planning Goals Development: Public Recreation II/ Agriculture Subcommittee Report</b>
GavPAC Meeting #32	Wednesday, April 27, 2011 6:30 p.m.	Vista de Las Cruces School Auditorium	<b>Planning Goals Development: Public Recreation I</b>
GavPAC Meeting #31	Wednesday, April 6, 2011 6:30 p.m.	Vista de Las Cruces School Auditorium	<b>Planning Goals Development: Land Use II</b>
GavPAC Meeting #30	Wednesday, March 23, 2011 6:30 p.m.	Vista de Las Cruces School Auditorium	<b>Planning Goals Development: Land Use I</b>
GavPAC Meeting #29	Wednesday, March 9, 2011 6:30 p.m.	Vista de Las Cruces School Auditorium	<b>Planning Goals Development: Transportation, Energy, &amp; Infrastructure IV/Visual Subcommittee Report</b>
GavPAC Meeting #28 <b>***COMPLETED***</b>	Wednesday, February 23, 2011 6:30 p.m.	Vista de Las Cruces School Auditorium	<b>Planning Goals Development: Transportation, Energy, &amp; Infrastructure III</b>
GavPAC Meeting #27 <b>***COMPLETED***</b>	Wednesday, February 9, 2011 6:30 p.m.	Vista de Las Cruces School Auditorium	<b>Planning Goals Development: Transportation, Energy, &amp; Infrastructure II</b>
GavPAC Meeting #26 <b>***COMPLETED***</b>	Wednesday, January 26 15, 2011 6:30 p.m.	Vista de Las Cruces School Auditorium	<b>Planning Goals Development: Resources Stewardship &amp; Open Space V/Transportation, Energy, &amp; Infrastructure I</b>
GavPAC Meeting #25 <b>***COMPLETED***</b>	Wednesday, January 12 15, 2011 6:30 p.m.	Vista de Las Cruces School Auditorium	<b>Planning Goals Development: Resources Stewardship &amp; Open Space IV</b>