





Gaviota Coast Planning Worksheets

Ref.	Tensions/Impacts/Constraints	Relevant:				Applicability to:					Comments
		Jurisdiction(s)/ Stakeholders	Policies/ Ordinances	Statute/Law	Planning Tools	Flexibility	Incentives	Public Improvements/ Maintenance	Jurisdictional Cooperation	Sole County Authority	
<u>Public Recreation</u>											
REC-1a	Provide appropriate access to and along the coast and provide public recreational opportunities; including development of improved access to the Bill Wallace Trail	a. Potential for overuse and resultant degradation of sensitive coastal resources b. Liability concerns of landowners and easement holders	Santa Barbara County CalTrans Southern Pacific Railroad Coastal Commission State Parks El Capitan Canyon Campground	LCP Policies 7-1:7-7-13:19 LCP Policies 7-25:7-26 Article II Sections 35-61:63, 35-81, 35-89	Civil Code §846 Govt. Code §831 Coastal Act § 30212.5, 30213, 30220-30224, 30250	a. Update public access component of LCP b. Analysis of long-term visitation and usage trends c. Adaptive policies for sea level rise/coastal bluff erosion d. Managed access programs e. Update State Parks plan f. Conditional Use Permit conditions of approval	✓	✓	✓	✓	a. In adopting the Coastal Act, the State Legislature established four basic goals of the State for the Coastal zone (Coastal Act §30001.5) including: (c) Maximize public access to and along the coast and maximize public recreational opportunities in the coastal zone consistent with sound resources conservation principles and constitutionally protected rights of private property owners. Include Baron Ranch as well, access through private campground
REC-1b	Safe access for various informal coastal uses	a. Public safety concerns and the protection of private property rights, and potential for overuse and resultant degradation of natural coastal resources b. Liability concerns of landowners and easement holders c. Cost	State Parks Department	LCP Policies 7-1:7-7, 7-13:19 Article II Sections 35-61:63, 35-81, 35-89	Civil Code Section 846 Government Code Section 831 Coastal Act Sections 30212.5, 30213, 30220-30224, 30250	a. Develop Coastal Access Implementation Plan b. Liability indemnification		✓	✓	✓	a. The State Recreational Use Statute (Civil Code § 846) immunizes private landowners who allow public recreational use of their land from liability b. The State also grants immunity to public entities for recreational use of public lands (Gov't Code § 831.4) c. Things to consider: existing informal access, capacity to properly maintain access, access at Naples.
REC-2a	Assess need and feasibility of trails on public & private land	a. Landowner concerns related to: i) liability, ii) trash, iii) trespassing, iv) livestock conflicts, v) habitat impacts b. Need support from willing property owners c. Costs d. Network of trails and linkages e. California Coastal Trail planning	Santa Barbara County State Parks Department US Forest Service Landowners Coastal Commission	Agricultural Element Policy 1A LCP Policies 7-25:7-26	Civil Code Section 846 Government Code Section 831 Coastal Act Section 30609.5(a)	a. Parks, Recreation, & Trails (PRT) Map b. Trails Implementation Plan c. Trails Siting Guidelines d. Liability indemnification e. Long-term funding source f. Adaptive policies for sea level rise/coastal bluff erosion		✓	✓	✓	a. Things to consider: liability, risks for adjacent land, use CRAHTAC map as a resource to review trail opportunities, public access in sensitive areas (riparian)
REC-2b	Development of a California Coastal Trail (CCT)	a. Many private landholdings b. Physical/financial constraints	Santa Barbara County State Parks Department Landowners Coastal Commission State Coastal Conservancy	LCP Policies 7-25:7-26 Article II Section 35-63	Civil Code Section 846 Government Code Section 830-831.9 Coastal Act Section 30609.5(a)	a. Develop Coastal Access Implementation Plan b. Policy & standards for the design and implementation of the CCT c. Liability indemnification d. Adaptive policies for sea level rise/coastal bluff erosion		✓	✓	✓	a. Should any portion of the CCT be paved, even if it is multi-use?
REC-2c	Establishment of a Multi-Use Trail Between El Capitan and Gaviota State Parks	a. Intervening private landholdings, see item 3a above.	Santa Barbara County State Parks Department Landowners Coastal Commission	Agricultural Element Policy 1A LCP Policies 7-25:7-26 Article II Section 35-63	Civil Code Section 846 Government Code Section 831 Coastal Act Sections 30212.5, 30213, 30220-30224, 30250	a. Develop Coastal Access Implementation Plan b. Liability indemnification c. Adaptive policies for sea level rise/coastal bluff erosion		✓	✓	✓	a. Things to consider: trail feasibility considering existing rail line's proximity to the coastline; the current trail btwn El Cap and Refugio is falling into ocean. b. Development of this trail would require cooperation with Union Pacific Railroad and Caltrans.
REC-2d	Maintain Multi-Use Trail Between El Capitan and Refugio State Parks	a. Entirely within State Parks jurisdiction/ ownership b. Maintenance/Fiscal c. Physical Constraints	State Parks Department			a. Adaptive easement b. Adaptive policies for sea level rise/coastal bluff erosion			✓		
REC-3	Improved & Safer Highway Access for Cyclists	a. CalTrans long-term plans for Hwy 101	CalTrans			a. Coordination with CalTrans			✓	✓	a. Caltrans has no specific improvement plans along the Hwy 101 shoulder. This type of improvement could be folded into a larger program. This issue is also a TE issue

Legend:
 Planning Concept Applicable to Goal
 Sole County Authority Subject to Coastal Commission Certification

Gaviota Coast Planning Worksheets

Ref.	Tensions/Impacts/Constraints	Relevant:				Applicability to:					Comments	
		Jurisdiction(s)/ Stakeholders	Policies/ Ordinances	Statute/Law	Planning Tools	Flexibility	Incentives	Public Improvements/ Maintenance	Jurisdictional Cooperation	Sole County Authority		
<u>Public Recreation</u>												
REC-4	Facilitate Re-Use of Disturbed Lands for Public Use (Texaco Tank Farm, Mariposa Reina)	a. Potential re-use as coastal dependent/related industrial or other revenue-generating use b. Recreational use	Santa Barbara County State Parks Department Landowners Coastal Commission	LCP Policy 6-30 Article II Sections 35-154:159		a. New policies b. Specific Plan c. Energy Division conditions of approval d. TDR receiver site	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	a. What roles does County Parks play in this process? (i.e. as related to relocation of the Gaviota Rest Stop, etc)
REC-5	Better coordination of recreational access among agencies	a. State Parks internal funding issue	State Parks Department						✓			a. To facilitate communication, an advisory board could make recommendations to decision making bodies, coordinate efforts with schools, etc
REC-6	Private Recreational Uses (i.e., Equestrian Uses)											a. Although facilities for equestrian uses are typically provided by the private sector, the GavPAC can help for such development.
REC-7	Off-road recreational vehicles (i.e. motos)											

Legend:
 Planning Concept Applicable to Goal
 Sole County Authority Subject to Coastal Commission Certification