



Planning and Development Department
Long Range Planning Division
Transmittal Memorandum

DATE: June 30, 2011

TO: GavPAC Members

FROM: David Lackie, Supervising Planner
Brian Tetley, Planner

CC: Supervisor Doreen Farr, 3rd Supervisorial District

SUBJECT: GavPAC Meeting No. 38

The items listed below have been included as part of the meeting materials for GavPAC Meeting No. 38, to be held Wednesday July 6, 2011 @ 6:30 p.m. in the Vista de Las Cruces School auditorium:

1. **Meeting Agenda:** Meeting No. 38 agenda (Attachment 1).
2. **Draft Meeting Minutes:** Staff has provided revised draft minutes for GavPAC meeting 34 for review and approval (Attachment 2).
3. **Non-Agricultural Coastal Commission LUDC Modifications Summary Outline:** Staff has attached a summary of the non-agricultural LUDC modifications (Attachment 3) as reference.
4. **Agricultural Cluster Development Summary:** Staff has attached a summary (Attachment 4) of the county history of the Agricultural Cluster Development (ACD) concept as reference.
5. **Long-Term Schedule:** The revised long term schedule is attached (Attachment 5).

In addition to this packet, please bring the GavPAC documents binder with you to the meeting.

All GavPAC materials are also available for download from the following webpage:
<http://longrange.sbcountyplanning.org/planareas/gaviota/gaviota.php>

Discussion of Coastal Commission Non- Agricultural LUDC Modifications (Agenda Item No. 5)

The committee will deliberate on approaches to the non-agricultural related Coastal Commission LUDC modifications. The Agricultural Subcommittee will be reviewing the agricultural related LUDC modifications in the course of the subcommittee meetings, and will report to the full GavPAC at a later date. The non-agricultural related LUDC modifications include: 1) Residences on Ag-Zoned land, 2) Animal Keeping, 3) Private Bluff Stairways, and 4) Eliminated Uses Previously Allowed with CUP.

Discussion of Incentive Approaches for Landowners (Agenda Item No. 6)

The committee requested time to have a discussion of potential approaches to incentives for landowners that would help achieve multiple planning goals.

Discussion of Development Clustering Tools (Agenda Item No. 7)

With time allowing, the committee can initiate a discussion regarding options for enabling the clustering of development to help achieve planning goals. Committee-member Lloyd has offered to prepare a paper regarding this subject that can handed out at the meeting.

Attachments:

1. Meeting 38 Agenda
2. Meeting 34 Revised Draft Minutes
3. Non-Agricultural Coastal Commission LUDC Modifications Summary Outline
4. Agricultural Cluster Development Summary
5. Long-Term Schedule

ATTACHMENT 1



Notice of Public Meeting

Gaviota Coast Planning Advisory Committee (GavPAC) Meeting No. 38

Note: This agenda lists discussion topics for the GavPAC meetings on:

Wednesday, July 6, 2011 – 6:30 p.m.

Attendees: GavPAC Members, County Staff, and Public Participants
Please review: Meeting Materials Packet for Meeting on 07/06/11
Please bring: Meeting Materials Packet for Meeting on 07/06/11
Location: Vista de Las Cruces School - Auditorium, 9467 San Julian Rd, Gaviota, CA 93117

Agenda Item	Discussion Topics
CALL TO ORDER	
1	Pledge of Allegiance & Roll Call
2	Public Comment <i>The Public Comment period is set aside to allow public testimony on items not on today's agenda. The time allocated to each speaker will be set at the discretion of the Chair.</i>
3	Administrative Briefings <i>Staff updates regarding local or other legislative activities, local planning decisions, or other updates of interest to the Committee.</i>
4	Meeting 34 Minutes Review and Approval
5	Discussion of Coastal Commission Non- Agricultural LUDC Modifications
6	Discussion of Incentive Approaches for Landowners
7	Discussion of Development Clustering Tools
8	Discussion of Long-Term Meeting Schedule
Adjourn	

Questions or comments about the Gaviota Coast Plan may be directed to Brian A. Tetley at 805-884-6848 or btetley@co.santa-barbara.ca.us and further information may be obtained on the following web site: <http://longrange.sbcountyplanning.org/planareas/gaviota/gaviota.php>

Writings that are a public record under Government Code § 54957.5(a) and that relate to an agenda item of a regular meeting of the Planning Advisory Committee that are distributed to a majority of all the members of the Planning Advisory Committee less than 72 hours prior to that meeting shall be available for public inspection at Santa Barbara County Planning & Development Department, 123 E. Anapamu Street, Santa Barbara, CA, and also on the County's website at <http://longrange.sbcountyplanning.org/>

Attendance and participation by the public is invited and encouraged. In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, if you need special assistance to participate in this meeting, please contact the Hearing Support Staff (805) 568-2000. Notification at least 48 hours prior to the meeting will enable the Hearing Support Staff to make reasonable accommodations.

ATTACHMENT 2

Gaviota Coast Planning Advisory Committee

REVISED DRAFT MEETING MINUTES MEETING No. 34

**Wednesday May 25, 2011
Vista de Las Cruces School Auditorium**

Meeting Called to Order: By Chair Kim Kimbell at 6:30 p.m.

1. Roll Call

GavPAC Members Present: Baer, Boise-Cossart, Bowman, Feeney, Kimbell, McGinnis(arrived after roll at 6:40 p.m.), McKenna, McNabb, Tautrim, Van Leer

GavPAC Members Absent: Lloyd

County Staff Present:

Jeff Hunt, Long Range Planning Division Director

David Lackie, Long Range Planning Division Supervising Planner

Brian A. Tetley, Long Range Planning Division Senior Planner

Katie Haldeman, Long Range Planning Division Associate Planner

2. Administrative Briefings

Chair Kimbell suggested the GavPAC could have a potluck BBQ. Once the GavPAC meeting schedule is finalized, they will set a date for the BBQ and determine logistics.

3. Public Comment:

Mike Lunsford: Distributed a handout to the GavPAC on the history of efforts to try and establish a California Coastal Trail. He believes this is a good time to focus on the Trail, given the current pending projects (Las Varas, Paradiso del Mare, etc.) on the Gaviota Coast.

Chair Kimbell responded that the Committee plans to start discussion on the issue of trails on the Gaviota Coast at the next GavPAC meeting.

4. Meeting Minutes from May 11th, 2011

Deliberation: None

Public Comment: None

ACTION: Bowman moved, seconded by Tautrim, and carried by a vote of 9-0 (McGinnis had not yet arrived) to approve the minutes without modifications.

5. Guest Speaker, Mr. Carlyle Johnston, Department of Public Works, Waste Conversion Technology Status Update

Mr. Johnston provided follow up to his February 9th, 2011 presentation to the GavPAC on "Tajiguas Landfill and Its Future Plans to Expand Resource Recovery". In light of discussion on February 9th, the GavPAC took formal action to direct County Staff to develop policy to 1) Reduce the impact of the Tajiguas Landfill on the Gaviota Coast 2) Not expand the landfill capacity 3) Only accept Santa Barbara County waste.



Many programs have been implemented in California to divert waste from landfills since the passage of the California Integrated Waste Management Act of 1989 - Assembly Bill (AB) 939, which created the Integrated Waste Management Board (CIWMB) and set up a new mandate for local jurisdictions to meet goals related to waste diversion from landfills. Jurisdictions served by the Tajiguas Landfill divert more than 70 percent of their waste from the landfill. The proposed implementation of Conversion Technology at the landfill is a way of managing waste that is still being buried in the landfill, but it is not a replacement for existing or planned recycling programs.

The California Department of Resources Recycling and Recovery (CalRecycle) has an Anaerobic Digestion Initiative to divert 50 percent of organics by 2020. A statewide programmatic EIR has been prepared for those facilities.

Three proposals for conversion technology were reviewed, and a preference for the Mustang Materials Recovery Facility (MRF), Anaerobic Digestion (AD), and Thermal Gasification Project was made because it could achieve the goals of the Conversion Technology Project. There are no plans to accept waste from out of the County and they are currently working with the Air Pollution Control District (APCD) and other vendors to reduce the cost of the project and associated air pollutant emissions.

Elected officials from the County and Cities of Santa Barbara and Goleta met in May to discuss the different proposals for conversion technology. The County will continue to solicit community and jurisdictional feedback on potential projects. CEQA analysis for the project is anticipated to begin in Fall 2011, with permitting and financial support secured by 2014.

Deliberation: Tautrim asked Mr. Johnston to explain waste characterization and when the last time a waste characterization was done. Materials representing a number of different communities are taken from waste hauling trucks and sorted by-hand and weighed. Baer inquired about the amount of truck traffic this project would generate. McKenna was concerned about ratepayers having to assume additional costs for this new technology. Chair Kimbell expressed concern about locating a MRF at Tajiguas, and that it could potentially generate more truck trips if it handled more recyclables.

Public Comment:

Mike Lunsford: A minute order from the Board of Supervisors in July of 1999 called for the closure of Tajiguas in 2014. Although there may be advantages to this process, should an industrial facility of this magnitude be located on the Gaviota Coast? Does the GavPAC want to extend the lifespan of the landfill by implementing this technology? Typically a MRF is located closer to the waste origination and not transported out to a remote location. The ratepayers will have to subsidize some of the costs for this new, expensive technology.

6-8. Recreation & Trails Planning Deliberations

Staff Presentation: Staff gave an overview of the Coastal Act and County policy applicable to public and private recreation. With the exception of Jalama County Park, all the parks within the Gaviota Coast Planning Area are managed by the State. Staff is seeking input from the GavPAC regarding guidance on appropriate recreational uses and agency to agency relationships. Issues related to public recreation generally arise from amenities, circulation patterns, and level of demand.

Richard Rozzelle, District Superintendent of the Channel Coast District of the California State Parks, which includes 14 parks, gave a presentation on State Parks management on the Gaviota Coast. Mr. Rozzelle stated that although State Parks operating budgets are dwindling and some parks with low revenue and visitation are shutting down, there are no slated closures in Santa Barbara County. For over 60 years State Parks has been



involved in managing State Parks on the Gaviota Coast, which includes over 250 campsites, over 430 day-use parking spots, and an annual visitation of over 400,000 visitors.

Visitors to the State Parks generally spend more than \$100 per person in the County on items such as food, fuel and lodging. Some of the goals of the Channel Coast District include creating formal pedestrian access between inland and coastal areas and across Highway 101. Access to the coast is important to protect but there are issues regarding safety of coastal access points. Highway 101 has narrowed down the already limited amount of area for recreation opportunities on the coastal terrace. At El Capitan State Beach, there are limited dry sandy beach areas for visitors during high season. State Parks is working with El Capitan Canyon Campground to formalize safer pedestrian movement from the inland areas to the coast. Refugio State Beach and El Capitan State Park have pedestrian access on the inland side of Highway 101, however no formalized pedestrian movement areas have been established.

When considering where to site new recreation facilities, the GavPAC should take into account access from the inland areas to the coast. Mr. Rozzelle does not support the development of campgrounds inland of Highway 101, due to safety issues associated with getting visitors to the beach. In addition, although it is important to protect and acknowledge informal coastal access points, Caltrans could at some point deem those areas a hazard, and the safety issues surrounding those access points should be taken into consideration and talked about with the County, UPRR, State Parks, and Caltrans.

State Parks created one General Plan for Gaviota State Park, El Capitan State Park, and Refugio State Beach in the 70's. Visitation usage has greatly increased and new acquisitions have been made since that plan was created and State Parks recognizes the need to update that Plan.

The Department and other resources agencies that are grantors for funding (DFG, FWS, etc) have informally classified Gaviota State Park as a "higher level" Park because it represents the central coast due to its healthy riparian corridor and trails that stretch from the coast to the ridgeline. Interest by grantors in Gaviota State Park could be utilized to our advantage for restoration activities. Two major State Parks' planning goals are to address the road and campground at Gaviota State Park, both of which impact the riparian corridor associated with Gaviota Creek and reduce the ability to restore estuarine habitat and riparian habitat currently occupied by the campground.

State Parks is committed to eliminating noxious and invasive weeds in their Parks as well.

Vertical access to the coast needs to be spread out along the coast to provide visitors beach access and because the amount of sandy beach area is limited, particularly at high tides.

New acquisitions for State Parks included area in El Capitan Canyon and Gaviota Village. Additional acquisitions are in the pipeline, but it will be difficult to do acquisitions new to the limited State Park operating budget. However, Mr. Rozzelle is committed to working with other Stakeholders to preserve open space and State Parks conduct natural resource management and exotic species eradication and are currently working on getting grant funding to do bridge restoration.

Visitation use has increased considerably since Parks in the planning area were first created.

Deliberation: McGinnis inquired about what State Parks is doing to remediate the non-native plants at El Capitan Canyon Cove. She inquired about whether more camping "loops" could be opened in the winter at El Capitan State Park to accommodate local



residents, who have to complete for campsites with tourists in the other months of the year. Bowman expressed the importance of creating the Interagency Council that could address recreation and public access issues.

McNabb reminded the GavPAC that the “La Sherpa” property on upper Refugio Road also serves private recreation needs. Staff explained that private recreation activities will further be discussed during the agricultural subcommittee meetings.

Bowman said that formalizing access for informal access points will need to provide adequate parking, restroom facilities, and safety considerations.

Public Comment:

Mike Lunsford: The County’s Agricultural Element was never adopted in the Coastal Zone.

Staff responded that they will further investigate Mr. Lunsford’s remarks, but that in general, Agricultural Element policies apply County-wide.

Allyson Biskner: Existing policies should be modernized to be more appropriate for the way the land is used and could potentially be used.

Dan Falat: Members of the public who access the ocean from informal access points along the coast are trespassing across Union Pacific Railroad property.

Rich Rozzelle: It is a slippery slope if the GavPAC wants to formalize coastal access points which are currently informal.

Mike Lunsford: Tajiguas and San Onofre are the current major informal access points. Could coordination with State Parks allow additional parking at these locations?

Roger Himowitz: Historical usage at El Capitan Canyon Campground was twice as great as is allowed now under their current CUP.

Mike Lunsford: Private recreational opportunities raise issues about maintaining the rural character of the Gaviota Coast. Private recreation also raises issues with zoning and spot zoning. Suggests we wait until we hear back from the Agricultural Subcommittee before finalizing direction.

ACTION: Boise-Cossart moved, seconded by Bowman, and carried by a vote of 10-0, to direct Staff to modify existing policy language so that the County 1) takes a more advisory and consultative approach in relation to State Parks and 2) looks at the feasibility of implementing existing policies.

ACTION: Tautrim moved, seconded by McNabb, and carried by a vote of 8-2, with Baer and Van Leer dissenting, to direct Staff to develop policy that will 1) Acknowledge and recognize that informal access points provide important public access to the coast.

8. Long-Term GavPAC Meeting Schedule

The GavPAC will have back to back weeks of meetings on Tuesday, June 7th and Wednesday June 15th, to continue discussions on recreation and trails.

Adjournment – 8:50 p.m.



ATTACHMENT 3

GAVIOTA COAST PLANNING ADVISORY COMMITTEE
COASTAL COMMISSION LUDC MODIFICATIONS
(Non-Agricultural)

1. Principal Permitted Use Concept

Designation of a Principal Permitted Use has the effect of dividing the allowable land uses within different zones into uses that are designated as principal permitted uses and those that are not. Non-principal permitted uses are therefore subject to a public hearing requirement, and are subject to appeal to the Coastal Commission.

The County's certified Article II Coastal Zoning Ordinance does not identify any Principal Permitted Uses. Instead each zone contains a list of "Permitted Uses" and a list of uses allowed with a Minor or Major Conditional Use Permit. The recommended modification updates the land use tables of the LUDCs to specifically identify the Principal Permitted Uses for each zone.

In the agricultural zones, since agriculture would be designated as the principal permitted use, agricultural structures and uses are proposed to be designated as principal permitted uses. Other uses like residential, commercial, etc., are considered appealable development. One important exception to this is that the primary dwelling on an agriculturally zone lot is considered a principal permitted under certain parameters as described below in number 2.

If a dwelling cannot comply with these standards, then it could still be allowed but would be required to undergo a public hearing, and an approval by the County would be appealable to the Coastal Commission once local appeals are exhausted¹.

Also, only garages, landscaping, pools, spas and hot tubs, and storage sheds, when accessory to a principal permitted dwelling, are proposed to be designated as a principal permitted use; all other accessory uses, such as guest houses, would be considered appealable development.

¹ This change results in no practical change for properties within the coastal zone appeals jurisdiction (i.e., Hollister Ranch, Cojo-Jalama Ranches, and coastal strip south of Gaviota State Park).

2. Residences on Ag-Zoned Land

Primary Residence considered a Principal Permitted Use only if:

- a) Residence is occupied by the operator of the agricultural use of the property or the property owner
- b) Residence does not exceed a floor area of 5,000 square feet
- c) Area devoted to the residential development area does not exceed 10,000 square feet².

If above permit triggers are exceeded, an appealable coastal development permit is required¹.

3. Animal Keeping

- a) Permit required for all confined animal facilities.³
- b) New animal keeping requires a Coastal Development Permit.
- c) Coastal Development Permit not required once property has legally established animal keeping up to the maximum numbers currently allowed.
- d) Private horse keeping in residential zones considered Principal Permitted Use.

4. Private Bluff Stairways

- a) Prohibits development of new private bluff stairways.
- b) Lawful, existing private bluff stairways considered non-conforming uses with limitations on repair:
 - i. May be structurally repaired provided any structural replacement (not including steps and handrails) is limited to 50% cumulative.⁴
 - ii. May be rebuilt in the same location if destroyed by a natural disaster.

5. Eliminated Uses Previously Allowed with CUP

- a) Fairgrounds
- b) Golf Course
- c) Golf Driving Range
- d) Meeting Facility, Religious⁵

² CCC Staff suggestions after CCC certification - Sliding Scale residential development area: 10,000 square feet for parcels of 40 acres or less; 500 square feet additional area for each acre over 40 acres up to maximum one acre residential development area.

³ CCC Staff suggestions after CCC certification - Identify development standards, similar to those for agricultural exemptions, to exempt animal keeping facilities.

⁴ CCC Staff suggestions after CCC certification- Existing legal private bluff stairways considered conforming uses, which would allow consideration of permits to repair or replace without limitation.

- e) School-Business, Professional or Trade
- f) Sports and Outdoor Recreation Facilities
- g) Charitable or Philanthropic Organization
- h) Wind Turbines and Wind Energy Systems
- i) Water Extraction, Commercial

6. Schools

a) In the Coastal Zone, within the AG-I and AG-II zones, schools allowed by a Conditional Use Permit are limited to:

- i. The expansion of lawful, existing facilities, including the development of new facilities located on a lot that is both adjacent to and under the same ownership as the lot on which the lawful, existing facility is located.
- ii. The re-establishment of a school in facilities that were formerly occupied by the school. This may include the development of new facilities on a lot that is both adjacent to the lot on which the school facility is located and is owned by the school.

(1) Specific to the Vista del Mar School District, this allows the development of new school facilities on property known as Assessor's Parcel Nos. 081-130-058 and 081-130-059 that are owned by the school district prior to November 18, 2010.

- b) Lawful, existing school facilities are considered to be conforming development and are not subject to the restrictions of Chapter 35.101 (Nonconforming Uses, Structures, and Lots).
- c) Within this Subsection F., adjacent includes lots that are separated by a street that is not a freeway or highway or the frontage road of a freeway or highway.

7. Sea Level Rise

This Modification adds a requirement that coastal hazards analysis utilizing the best available scientific information be provided for all nearshore projects. For residential and commercial development, the coastal hazards analysis must consider a range of potential sea level rise scenarios, from three to six feet per century. Greater sea level rise rates must be used if development is expected to have a long economic life, if the proposed development has few options for adaptation to sea level higher than the design minimum, or if the best available scientific information at the time of review supports a higher design level.

⁵ Unless otherwise required by law.

ATTACHMENT 4

Agricultural Cluster Development (ACD) Summary

The ACD concept is designed to create additional density for residential subdivision while minimizing impacts to remaining agricultural acreage through permanent agricultural easement and cooperative management on non-Williamson Act contracted lands. The following summary describes the County's effort to enact an ACD concept which was ultimately not successful.

An ACD program can be one alternative to traditional large parcel subdivisions that are often denied due to impacts to agricultural production or loss of agricultural land, or inconsistencies with the Agricultural Element of the Comprehensive Plan. ACD is intended to benefit both the property owner (by providing a mechanism to maintain agricultural production through development of non-agricultural uses), the County's agricultural industry (by preserving resources necessary to sustain this important business sector), and the community at large through preservation of agricultural and open space.

During draft ACD Ordinance development, some agriculturalists and property owners in the County conceptually supported the ACD Program while expressing concern that specific provisions in the draft ordinance did not address their needs. Staff recommended implementation on a pilot program basis. A voluntary public-private partnership enabled interested property owners to receive preliminary environmental review of proposed projects on their site. Pilot projects were developed for Mission Oaks Ranch in the Santa Ynez Valley and Rancho Todos Santos in the San Antonio Valley west of Los Alamos.

The Rancho Todos Santos ACD pilot project would have maximized residential and non-residential development with a Development Cluster Area and included a mix of on-site agricultural uses (cultivated agriculture and grazing). The Mission Oaks Ranch ACD pilot project included development of 47 residences and two common area equestrian lots on approximately 130 acres and the retention of 3,747 acres in an agricultural easement.

ATTACHMENT 5



Gaviota Coast Plan GavPAC Meeting Schedule

Meeting Dates and Discussion Topics

[Meeting dates, times, and locations are subject to change]

June 30, 2011

Meeting/ Workshop Type	Date and Time	Location	Discussion Topics
GavPAC Meeting #39	Wednesday, July TBD, 2011 6:30 p.m.	Vista de Las Cruces School Auditorium	Policy Direction Review Gaviota Terminal Company Property Discussion
GavPAC Meeting #38	Wednesday, July 6, 2011 6:30 p.m.	Vista de Las Cruces School Auditorium	Non-Agricultural Coastal Commission LUDC Modifications Incentive Approaches for Landowners Development Clustering Tools
GavPAC Meeting #37 ***COMPLETED***	Wednesday, June 29, 2011 6:30 p.m.	Vista de Las Cruces School Auditorium	Agricultural Subcommittee Report
GavPAC Meeting #36 ***COMPLETED***	Wednesday, June 15, 2011 6:30 p.m.	Vista de Las Cruces School Auditorium	Planning Goals Development: Recreation & Trails III
GavPAC Meeting #35 ***COMPLETED***	Tuesday, June 7, 2011 6:30 p.m.	Vista de Las Cruces School Auditorium	Planning Goals Development: Recreation & Trails II
GavPAC Meeting #34 ***COMPLETED***	Wednesday, May 25, 2011 6:30 p.m.	Vista de Las Cruces School Auditorium	Planning Goals Development: Recreation & Trails I
GavPAC Meeting #33 ***COMPLETED***	Wednesday, May 11, 2011 6:30 p.m.	Vista de Las Cruces School Auditorium	Planning Goals Development: Land Use IV
GavPAC Meeting #32 ***COMPLETED***	Wednesday, April 27, 2011 6:30 p.m.	Vista de Las Cruces School Auditorium	Planning Goals Development: Land Use III/Visual Subcommittee Report
GavPAC Meeting #31 ***COMPLETED***	Wednesday, April 6, 2011 6:30 p.m.	Vista de Las Cruces School Auditorium	Planning Goals Development: Land Use II
GavPAC Meeting #30 ***COMPLETED***	Wednesday, March 30, 2011 6:30 p.m.	Vista de Las Cruces School Auditorium	Planning Goals Development: Land Use I

GavPAC Meeting #29 ***COMPLETED***	Wednesday, March 9, 2011 6:30 p.m.	Vista de Las Cruces School Auditorium	Planning Goals Development: Transportation, Energy, & Infrastructure IV/Visual Subcommittee Report
GavPAC Meeting #28 ***COMPLETED***	Wednesday, February 23, 2011 6:30 p.m.	Vista de Las Cruces School Auditorium	Planning Goals Development: Transportation, Energy, & Infrastructure III
GavPAC Meeting #27 ***COMPLETED***	Wednesday, February 9, 2011 6:30 p.m.	Vista de Las Cruces School Auditorium	Planning Goals Development: Transportation, Energy, & Infrastructure II
GavPAC Meeting #26 ***COMPLETED***	Wednesday, January 26 15, 2011 6:30 p.m.	Vista de Las Cruces School Auditorium	Planning Goals Development: Resources Stewardship & Open Space V/Transportation, Energy, & Infrastructure I
GavPAC Meeting #25 ***COMPLETED***	Wednesday, January 12 15, 2011 6:30 p.m.	Vista de Las Cruces School Auditorium	Planning Goals Development: Resources Stewardship & Open Space IV
GavPAC Meeting #24 ***COMPLETED***	Wednesday, December 15, 2010 6:30 p.m.	Vista de Las Cruces School Auditorium	Planning Goals Development: Resources Stewardship & Open Space III
GavPAC Meeting #23 ***COMPLETED***	Wednesday, December 1, 2010 6:30 p.m.	Vista de Las Cruces School Auditorium	Planning Goals Development: Resources Stewardship & Open Space II
GavPAC Meeting #22 ***COMPLETED***	Wednesday, November 10, 2010 6:30 p.m.	Vista de Las Cruces School Auditorium	Planning Goals Development: Resources Stewardship & Open Space I
GavPAC Meeting #21 ***COMPLETED***	Wednesday, October 27, 2010 6:30 p.m.	Vista de Las Cruces School Auditorium	Planning Goals Development: Agriculture II
GavPAC Meeting #20 ***COMPLETED***	Wednesday, October 13, 2010 6:30 p.m.	Vista de Las Cruces School Auditorium	Planning Goals Development: Agriculture I
GavPAC Meeting #19 ***COMPLETED***	Wednesday, September 29, 2010 6:30 p.m.	Vista de Las Cruces School Auditorium	Plan Boundary Discussion Planning Goals Development: Visual Resources III
GavPAC Meeting #18 ***COMPLETED***	Wednesday, September 15, 2010 6:30 p.m.	Vista de Las Cruces School Auditorium	Planning Goals Development: Visual Resources II
GavPAC Meeting #17 ***COMPLETED***	Wednesday, September 1, 2010 6:30 p.m.	Vista de Las Cruces School Auditorium	Planning Goals Development: Visual Resources I

GavPAC Meeting #16 ***COMPLETED***	Monday, August 9, 2010 6:30 p.m.	Vista de Las Cruces School Auditorium	Plan Boundary Discussion Discussion of Guiding Principles and Subcommittee Report
GavPAC Meeting #15 ***COMPLETED***	Wednesday, July 21, 2010 6:30 p.m.	Vista de Las Cruces School Auditorium	Discussion of Issue Area Priorities for Planning Goal Development Strategy Discussion for Policy Issue Area Deliberations
GavPAC Meeting #14 ***COMPLETED***	Wednesday, June 30, 2010 6:30 p.m.	Vista de Las Cruces School Auditorium	Presentation of Potential Options to Facilitate Restoration Projects: Ms. Turenchalk Discussion of Issue Area Priorities for Planning Goal Development
GavPAC Meeting #13 ***COMPLETED***	Monday, June 21, 2010 6:30 p.m.	Goleta Union School District Board Hearing Room	Discussion of Coastal Commission Suggested Modifications to County Land Use and Development Code
GavPAC Meeting #12 ***COMPLETED***	Wednesday, May 26, 2010 6:30 p.m.	Vista de Las Cruces School Auditorium	Presentation & Discussion of Coastal Commission Suggested Modifications to County Land Use and Development Code Discussion of Issue Area Priorities for Planning Goal Development
GavPAC Meeting #11 ***COMPLETED***	Wednesday, May 12, 2010 6:30 p.m.	Brandon School Auditorium	Discussion of Issue Area Priorities for Planning Goal Development
GavPAC Meeting #10 ***COMPLETED***	Wednesday, April 21, 2010 6:30 p.m.	Vista de Las Cruces School Auditorium	Requested Topic Presentations
GavPAC Meeting #9 ***COMPLETED***	Wednesday, April 7, 2010 6:30 p.m.	Brandon School Auditorium	Post-Workshop Strategy Session
GavPAC Workshop #1 ***COMPLETED***	Saturday, March 20, 2010 9:00 a.m.	Goleta School District Board Hearing Room	Public Workshop Saturday Public Workshop (Round table format with topic area discussions hosted and facilitated by GavPAC members)
GavPAC Meeting #8 ***COMPLETED***	Wednesday, March 3, 2010 6:30 p.m.	Vista de Las Cruces School Auditorium	Visual Resources County Riding and Hiking Trails Advisory Committee Presentation Public Workshop Format & Topics Discussion
GavPAC Meeting #7 ***COMPLETED***	Wednesday Feb. 17, 2010 6:30 p.m.	Vista de Las Cruces School Auditorium	Plan Area Boundary Discussion Public Workshop Format & Topics Discussion
GavPAC Meeting #6 ***COMPLETED***	Wednesday, Feb. 10, 2010 6:30p.m.	Vista de Las Cruces School Auditorium	Agricultural Resources and Heritage
GavPAC Meeting #5 ***COMPLETED***	Wednesday, Jan. 6, 2010 6:30p.m.	Vista de Las Cruces School Auditorium	Overview of Watershed Planning, Ecology & Biological Diversity of Gaviota Coast Applicable Zoning Ordinances – Relationship To Comprehensive Plan

GavPAC Meeting #4 ***COMPLETED***	Monday, Dec. 7, 2009 6:30 p.m.	Vista de Las Cruces School Auditorium	Overview of Zoning Ordinances, Current Projects, and Energy Facilities (cont.) Overview of Public Recreation and Access
GavPAC Meeting #3 ***COMPLETED***	Wednesday, Dec. 2, 2009 6:30 p.m.	Vista de Las Cruces School Auditorium	Overview of Zoning Ordinances, Current Projects, and Energy Facilities
GavPAC Meeting #2 ***COMPLETED***	Wednesday, Nov. 18, 2009 6:30 p.m.	Vista de Las Cruces School Auditorium	Overview of the Comprehensive Plan and LCP
GavPAC Meeting #1 ***COMPLETED***	Wednesday, Nov. 4, 2009 6:30 p.m.	Vista de Las Cruces School Auditorium	GavPAC Kickoff Meeting