

Kenan Ezal's exceptions to the Initiation Draft of the GVCP:

1. Policy LUR-EGV-2.5. MTD needs to be treated consistent with LUA-EGV-1.5 prior to conversion. In other words, the County needs to make an official finding that it is no longer appropriate for urban agricultural uses consistent with the new UA-EGV land-use designation and UAg-EGV zoning.

I move that the motion to endorse the Initiation Draft Plan include the following substantive exception of modifying the first sentence of Policy LUR-EGV-2.5 to read:

“The Tatum/Santa Barbara School District property (APN 065-040-026), located at 4750 Hollister Avenue, and, provided the County makes a finding consistent with LUA-EGV-1.5, the MTD properties (APNs 059-140-004, -005, -006), located at 4678 Calle Real/149 N. San Antonio Rd, shall receive land use designations appropriate for Residential Neighborhood Development.”

2. The ‘finding’ requirement of Policies LUA-EGV-1.5, LUA-EGV-2.6 and Policy LUA-EGV-2.7 do not have a high enough threshold. Therefore:

I move that the motion to endorse the Initiation Draft Plan includes the following substantive exception of modifying Policies LUA-EGV-1.5, LUA-EGV-2.6 and LUA-EGV-2.7 so that the phrase “makes findings” is replaced by “makes factual and substantive findings” AND “due consideration” is replaced by “due consideration consistent with all policies of this Plan.”

Definitions: [Webster's Ninth New Collegiate Dictionary] Substantive: (a) considerable in amount or numbers, (b) (having substance) involving matters of major or practical importance to all concerned. Factual: Restricted to or based on fact.

3. Figure 26 (p. 124) uses Road Classifications from the 1993 Plan that are inconsistent with Federal and State classifications. Moreover, there are several references to roadway classifications [p. 125, p. 141: Policy TC-EGV-1.3] that are non-existent in the Initiation Draft. How can we endorse something we haven't seen? Therefore:

I move that the motion to endorse the Initiation Draft Plan includes the following substantive exception of replacing previous 1993 Plan road classifications with classifications consistent with Federal and State definitions.

4. Throughout the GVPAC meetings we made references to the use of the most recent scientific data available when making decisions regarding unforeseen circumstances or in the evaluation of different technologies that we did not foresee. Therefore, I would like to include some language in the Plan that is a “catch-all” policy of always using the most current scientific data available:

I move that the motion to endorse the Initiation Draft Plan include the following substantive exception of including:

“Policy EGV-2.3: The County shall make use of the most current scientific data available when making land use decisions.”

AND

“Policy HAZ-EGV-1.3: Emerging Hazards: The County shall make use of the most current scientific data available when making land use decisions regarding previously unforeseen potential hazards.”

5. Obtaining a Land Use Permit for ESH should not require development:

I move that the motion to endorse the Initiation Draft Plan include the following substantive exception of amending the GOL-ESH Overlay Ordinance to require a Land Use Permit for ESH Removal.