

1 BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

2 COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

3
4 IN THE MATTER OF APPROVING) RESOLUTION NO. 86-380
5 AMENDMENTS TO THE TEXT OF THE) Case No. (86-GP-17 (CZ))
6 SANTA BARBARA COUNTY COASTAL) (86-OA-13 (CZ))
7 PLAN, (COASTAL ZONING ORDINANCE,)) (86-GP-13 (CZ))
8 ARTICLE II,) RELATIVE TO PIPE-) (86-RZ-19)
9 LINES AND PIPELINE CORRIDORS,)
10 AND LAND USE AND OVERLAY MAPS)
11 FOR THE MONTECITO/SUMMERLAND)
12 AREA)

13 WITH REFERENCE TO THE FOLLOWING:

14 A. On January 7, 1980, by Resolution No. 80-12, the
15 Board of Supervisors of the County of Santa Barbara adopted the
16 Santa Barbara County Coastal Plan; and subsequently the Board of
17 Supervisors, by Resolution No. 84-284, determined that pipelines
18 are environmentally preferable to other modes of oil
19 transportation.

20 B. It is now deemed in the interest of the orderly
21 development of the County and important to the preservation of
22 the health, safety and general welfare of the residents of the
23 County to make the following changes to the text and maps of the
24 Santa Barbara County Coastal Plan:

25 1.(a) In the text, amend Chapter 3.6, Industrial and
26 Energy Development, by amending Subsection 3.6.4, Land Use Plan
27 Proposals, with an addition to the second paragraph under
28 PIPELINES to read:

29 Pipeline routing poses a number of problems which may
30 threaten coastal resources, particularly if the pipeline must be
31 routed through habitat or recreation areas. Here, the threat is
32 twofold: damage may occur during construction arising from

1 habitat loss, erosion, disruption of nesting or other biological
2 cycles; or from damage occurring during operation, due to spills
3 caused by breaching of the line. Measures to prevent and reduce
4 environmental damage shall: (1) require the use of available
5 common carrier and multiple-user pipelines where feasible to
6 reduce cumulative environmental impacts associated with pipeline
7 construction, (2) require all new pipelines to be common carrier
8 or multiple-user pipelines where feasible, thereby reducing the
9 need for future pipeline construction, and (3) restrict new
10 pipeline construction to approved corridors that have undergone
11 comprehensive environmental review when such corridors are
12 available, safe, technically feasible, and environmentally
13 preferred route.

14 (b) Amend Chapter 3.6, Industrial and Energy
15 Development, by amending Subsection 2.6.4, Land Use Plan
16 Proposals, to add the following paragraphs to policy 6-14:

17 Impacts of new pipelines outside of industry facilities
18 shall be minimized by requiring the use of available or planned
19 common carrier and multiple-user pipelines to the maximum extent
20 feasible. New pipeline construction shall be permitted only if
21 the Planning Commission determines that the use of available
22 common carrier or multiple-user pipelines is not feasible or is
23 not the environmentally preferred alternative. New pipelines
24 that are permitted shall be constructed, operated, and
25 maintained as common carrier or multiple-user pipelines unless
26 the Planning Commission determines that it is not feasible. New
27 multiple-user pipelines shall provide equitable access to all
28 shippers with physically compatible stock on a nondiscriminatory

1 basis.

2 All new pipelines shall be restricted to approved
3 corridors that have undergone comprehensive environmental review
4 unless the Planning Commission determines that such corridors
5 are not available, safe, technically feasible, or the
6 environmentally preferred route. The required environmental
7 review for proposed pipelines shall include analysis to
8 determine what cumulative impacts might result in adding
9 pipelines to that corridor in the future.

10 The design of new common carrier and multiple-user
11 pipelines shall take into account the reasonable, foreseeable
12 needs of other potential shippers. If other pipeline projects
13 are expected to be permitted in the same corridor, the proposed
14 project shall be required to coordinate concurrent or "shadow"
15 construction with the other projects where practical.

16 Permits for new pipeline construction shall require
17 engineering of pipe placement and burial to minimize incremental
18 widening of the corridor during subsequent pipeline projects,
19 unless the proposed route is determined to be unacceptable for
20 additional pipelines.

21 (c) Amend Appendix A, Definitions, by amending Section
22 3.6, Industrial and Energy Development to add the definition
23 "Shadow Construction" to read:

24 Shadow Construction - Pipeline construction, involving
25 two or more separate pipeline projects in the same corridor, is
26 coordinated at closely-timed intervals so that site
27 rehabilitation is required only once.

28 (d) Amend Santa Barbara County Coastal Zoning

1 Ordinance, Article II, § 35-58 and § 35-157 per the Ordinances
2 attached as Exhibit "A", and incorporated herein by this
3 reference.

4 2.(a) On the Montecito/Summerland Land Use and Overlay
5 Map, change the land use designation from Highway Commercial
6 (CH) to General Commercial as it pertains to Assessor's Parcel
7 Nos. 5-121-03,-05,-06 and -08 located between U. S. Highway 101
8 and Ortega Hill road, just west of the Town of Summerland,
9 Summerland Area, First District.

10 (b) Amend Sections 35-54, adopting new ordinances and
11 maps, of Article II of Chapter 35 of the County Code by adopting
12 by reference one zoning map, identified as Exhibit No.
13 35-54.3.2, to rezone Assessor's Parcel Nos. 5-121-03, -05,-06
14 and -08 from CH, Highway Commercial to C-2, Retail Commercial.
15 Said Ordinance is attached hereto as Exhibit "B" and
16 incorporated herein by this reference.

17 3. These amendments to the Local Coastal Program are
18 consistent with the provisions of the Coastal Act of 1976.

19 4. This Board now wishes to submit these amendments to
20 the California Coastal Commission.

21 C. The Planning Commission of the county of Santa
22 Barbara, after holding duly noticed public hearings on the above
23 described Items B-1 through B-2, has endorsed and recommended
24 changes on Items B-1 through B-2 by its Resolutions Nos. 86-14
25 and 86-22 pursuant to Gov't Code § 65354.

26 D. This Board has held a duly noticed public hearing
27 on all of the described items as required by Gov't. Code § 65355.

28 NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED as follows:

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1. The above recitations are true and correct.

2. Pursuant to the provisions of Gov't. Code § 65357 the above described changes in the Coastal Plan of Santa Barbara County are adopted as an amendment to the above mentioned plan text and maps. The motions for approval on the above described items were passed by the following votes:

Item B-1 Supervisor Yager: Aye; Stoker: Aye;
Holmdahl: Aye; Wallace: Aye;
Absent: Miyoshi

Item B-2 Supervisor Yager: Aye; Stoker: Aye;
Holmdahl: Aye; Wallace: Aye;
Absent: Miyoshi

2. This Board certifies that these amendments are intended to be carried out in a manner fully in conformity with the said California Coastal Act.

3. The Board submits these amendments to the Local Coastal Program to the California Coastal Commission for review and certification.

4. The Chairman and the Clerk of this Board are hereby authorized and directed to sign and certify all maps, documents, and other materials in accordance with this Resolution to show the above mentioned action by the Board of Supervisors.

1 PASSED, APPROVED AND ADOPTED by the Board of
2 Supervisors of the County of Santa Barbara, State of California,
3 this 28th day of July 1986, by the following vote:

4 AYES: David M. Yager, Michael B. Stoker,
5 William B. Wallace, DeWayne Holmdahl

6 NOES: None

7 ABSTENTIONS: None

8 Absent: Toru Miyoshi

9
10 ATTEST:

William B. Wallace
Vice Chairman, Board of Supervisors
of the County of Santa Barbara
State of California

11
12 HOWARD C. MENZEL
13 COUNTY CLERK-RECORDER

14 By Kathleen Conforti Starr
15 Deputy Clerk-Recorder

16
17 APPROVED AS TO FORM:

18 KENNETH L. NELSON
19 COUNTY COUNSEL

20 By K. J. [Signature]
21 Deputy County Counsel

22
23 0385B
24 JZ:lc
8-5-86